UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,939	06/07/2006	Reinhold Meier	5038.1028	2160
	7590 10/04/2010 dson & Kappel, LLC	EXAMINER		
485 7th Avenue		AFZALI, SARANG		
New York, NY	10018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/581,939	MEIER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SARANG AFZALI	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (box 1).	of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	in the final rejection, whi g date of the final rejection	chever is later. In
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was AMENDMENTS.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, is (a) They raise new issues that would require further condition (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belong) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a conditional claims. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	TE below); ducing or simplifying the	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	:		,
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an e:	kplanation of
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considerated because: See Continuation Sheet. 		•	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant mainly argues that Thore and Worz et al. are not combinable and even if combined, would not disclose the method of independent claim 24 as neither teaches using foaming agents to form a gas turbine component having a closed and supporting exterior wall (Remarks, page 3, paragraphs 3-5). The applicant further argues that the secondary references of Danforth et al., Grylls et al. and Simon fail to teach the deficiencies of Thore. The examiner respectfully disagrees with the above arguments. Note that Thore teaches a method of making gas turbine component and Worz et al. is combined to teach the claimed steps of mixing metal powders, foaming and cooling. The motivation is to provide efficient means of manufacturing cost effective and lightweight component (i.e. turbine blade). The examiner believes that the combination of the secondary references as outlined in the Final Office Action mailed on 4/1/2010 would still be valid.